

Implementation of Taylor Models in Coq

Érik Martin-Dorel & Ioana Pașca

École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, LIP
46 allée d'Italie, 69364 LYON CEDEX 07, France

erik.martin-dorel@ens-lyon.org
<http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/erik.martin-dorel/>

Journées CoqApprox
Les 14 & 15 juin 2011
Salle du Conseil du LIP



INRIA



Outline

- 1 Introduction, Motivation and Data structures
- 2 Taylor Models for base functions
- 3 Taylor Models for composite functions
- 4 Formal proofs of correctness
- 5 Conclusion

Outline

- 1 Introduction, Motivation and Data structures
- 2 Taylor Models for base functions
- 3 Taylor Models for composite functions
- 4 Formal proofs of correctness
- 5 Conclusion

Motivation

- TaMaDi: certification of hardest-to-round points for elementary functions
- Certified polynomial approximation

Goals

- Formal validation
- Fast computations
- Genericity \Rightarrow ease the implantation of new functions

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A **Taylor Model** representing a **univariate** function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A Taylor Model representing a univariate function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A Taylor Model representing a univariate function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals \Rightarrow ensures the true coefficients of the Taylor polynomial lie inside the corresponding intervals

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A Taylor Model representing a univariate function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals \Rightarrow ensures the true coefficients of the Taylor polynomial lie inside the corresponding intervals

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A Taylor Model representing a univariate function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals \Rightarrow ensures the true coefficients of the Taylor polynomial lie inside the corresponding intervals

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A Taylor Model representing a univariate function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals \Rightarrow ensures the true coefficients of the Taylor polynomial lie inside the corresponding intervals

Context: Taylor Model

Definition

A Taylor Model representing a univariate function f on an interval \mathbf{I} is a pair (T, Δ) where T is a polynomial and Δ is an interval bounding the error between f and T on \mathbf{I} . Roughly speaking, we have:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad f(x) - T(x) \in \Delta.$$

- A typical example of Taylor Model is a degree- n Taylor expansion along with the Taylor-Lagrange remainder
- Which type for the coefficients of T ?
 - Exact real numbers?
 - Floating-point numbers?
 - Small floating-point intervals \Rightarrow ensures the true coefficients of the Taylor polynomial lie inside the corresponding intervals

Context: Taylor Models for D -finite functions

- A D -finite (also called holonomic) function is a function that satisfies a *linear ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients* (cf. presentation by Nicolas)
- Most of elementary functions are D -finite
- Consequently, the coefficients of their Taylor expansion satisfy a recurrence relation
- The Taylor-Lagrange remainder has a factor that is very similar to a bare Taylor coefficient, except that x_0 is replaced with the working interval I
- Consequently, computation by recurrence combined with Interval Arithmetic (IA) appears to be an attractive way to provide certified polynomial approximation

Context: Taylor Models for D -finite functions

- A D -finite (also called holonomic) function is a function that satisfies a *linear ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients* (cf. presentation by Nicolas)
- Most of elementary functions are D -finite
- Consequently, the coefficients of their Taylor expansion satisfy a recurrence relation
- The Taylor-Lagrange remainder has a factor that is very similar to a bare Taylor coefficient, except that x_0 is replaced with the working interval I
- Consequently, computation by recurrence combined with Interval Arithmetic (IA) appears to be an attractive way to provide certified polynomial approximation

Context: Taylor Models for D -finite functions

- A D -finite (also called holonomic) function is a function that satisfies a *linear ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients* (cf. presentation by Nicolas)
- Most of elementary functions are D -finite
- Consequently, the **coefficients of their Taylor expansion** satisfy a recurrence relation
- The Taylor-Lagrange remainder has a factor that is very similar to a bare Taylor coefficient, except that x_0 is replaced with the working interval I
- Consequently, computation by recurrence combined with Interval Arithmetic (IA) appears to be an attractive way to provide certified polynomial approximation

Context: Taylor Models for D -finite functions

- A D -finite (also called holonomic) function is a function that satisfies a *linear ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients* (cf. presentation by Nicolas)
- Most of elementary functions are D -finite
- Consequently, the coefficients of their Taylor expansion satisfy a recurrence relation
- The **Taylor-Lagrange remainder** has a factor that is very similar to a bare Taylor coefficient, except that x_0 is replaced with the working interval \mathbf{I}
- Consequently, computation by recurrence combined with Interval Arithmetic (IA) appears to be an attractive way to provide certified polynomial approximation

Context: Taylor Models for D -finite functions

- A D -finite (also called holonomic) function is a function that satisfies a *linear ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients* (cf. presentation by Nicolas)
- Most of elementary functions are D -finite
- Consequently, the coefficients of their Taylor expansion satisfy a recurrence relation
- The Taylor-Lagrange remainder has a factor that is very similar to a bare Taylor coefficient, except that x_0 is replaced with the working interval \mathbf{I}
- Consequently, computation by recurrence combined with Interval Arithmetic (IA) appears to be an attractive way to provide certified polynomial approximation

Need for computation within the proof assistant

- Given the characteristics of the problem, it would be irrelevant to perform the calculations at stake with an external oracle before verifying them in Coq
 - There is actually no “characteristic property” or any such formula that could “summarize” the series of IA calculations that generate the Taylor coefficients and the remainder
- ⇒ We need to compute all these quantities within the proof assistant

Need for computation within the proof assistant

- Given the characteristics of the problem, it would be irrelevant to perform the calculations at stake with an external oracle before verifying them in Coq
 - There is actually no “characteristic property” or any such formula that could “summarize” the series of IA calculations that generate the Taylor coefficients and the remainder
- ⇒ We need to compute all these quantities within the proof assistant

Need for computation within the proof assistant

- Given the characteristics of the problem, it would be irrelevant to perform the calculations at stake with an external oracle before verifying them in Coq
 - There is actually no “characteristic property” or any such formula that could “summarize” the series of IA calculations that generate the Taylor coefficients and the remainder
- ⇒ We need to compute all these quantities within the proof assistant

Taylor Model Structure

Definition

We will call a degree- n Taylor Model Structure a pair (T, Δ) where $T = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ is a list of $n + 1$ interval coefficients, and Δ an interval.

```
Structure tms (deg : nat) : Type := TMS {  
    approx : seq I.type ;  
    approx_len : size approx = deg.+1 ;  
    error : I.type  
}.
```

Outline

- 1 Introduction, Motivation and Data structures
- 2 Taylor Models for base functions
- 3 Taylor Models for composite functions
- 4 Formal proofs of correctness
- 5 Conclusion

A generic approach based on recurrences

- We focus on functions whose Taylor coefficients $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy a recurrence of the form:

$$\forall n \geq N, \quad u_n = U(u_{n-N}, u_{n-N+1}, \dots, u_{n-1}),$$

for a given N -ary function $U : T^N \rightarrow T$,
with the first terms $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1})$ given by a list $L_0 \in T^N$

- We want to define and compute such recurrences in CoQ, in an efficient and convenient way

A generic approach based on recurrences

- We focus on functions whose Taylor coefficients $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy a recurrence of the form:

$$\forall n \geq N, \quad u_n = U(u_{n-N}, u_{n-N+1}, \dots, u_{n-1}),$$

for a given N -ary function $U : T^N \rightarrow T$,
with the first terms $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1})$ given by a list $L_0 \in T^N$

- We want to define and compute **such recurrences** in CoQ, in an efficient and convenient way

Standard Library Coq.Numbers.NaryFunctions (1/2)

```
Fixpoint nfun A n B :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => B  
  | S n => A -> (nfun A n B)  
 end.
```

```
Notation "A ^^ n --> B" := (nfun A n B) : type_scope.
```

```
Fixpoint nprod A n : Type :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => unit  
  | S n => (A * nprod A n)%type  
 end.
```

```
Notation "A ^ n" := (nprod A n) : type_scope.
```

Standard Library Coq.Numbers.NaryFunctions (2/2)

```
Fixpoint ncurry (A B:Type) n : (A^n -> B) -> (A^{n-->}B).
```

```
Fixpoint nuncurry (A B:Type) n : (A^{n-->}B) -> (A^n -> B).
```

```
Fixpoint nprod_to_list (A:Type) n : A^n -> list A :=
match n with
| 0 => fun _ => nil
| S n => fun p => let (x,p):=p in x::(nprod_to_list _ n p)
end.
```

```
Fixpoint nprod_of_list (A:Type) (l:list A) : A^{(length l)} :=
match l return A^{(length l)} with
| nil => tt
| x::l => (x, nprod_of_list _ l)
end.
```

Standard Library Coq.Numbers.NaryFunctions (2/2)

```

Fixpoint ncurry (A B:Type) n : (A^n -> B) -> (A^{n-->}B).

Fixpoint nuncurry (A B:Type) n : (A^{n-->}B) -> (A^n -> B).

Fixpoint nprod_to_seq (A:Type) n : A^n -> seq A :=
match n with
| 0 => fun _ => nil
| S n => fun p => let (x,p):=p in x::(nprod_to_seq _ n p)
end.

Fixpoint nprod_of_seq (A:Type) (l:seq A) : A^{(size l)} :=
match l return A^{(size l)} with
| nil => tt
| x::l => (x, nprod_of_seq _ l)
end.

```

ssrNaryRec : A generic theory for N -ary recurrences

```
Section GenericNaryRec.
```

```
Variable T : Type.
```

```
Variable L0 : seq T.
```

```
Local Notation N := (size L0).
```

```
Variable U : T^{N} --> (nat -> T).
```

```
Definition Uprod := nuncurry T (nat -> T) N U.
```

```
Definition URec (k : nat) : seq T.
```

```
Lemma URec_nth_indep :
```

```
  forall (d : T) m n, (m < n) ->
  nth d (URec L0 U n) m = nth d (URec L0 U m.+1) m.
```

```
Lemma URec_correct :
```

```
  forall d e m, (N <= m) ->
  nth d (URec L0 U m.+1) m =
  Uprod (nprod_seq_dflt N (m-N) (URec L0 U m) e) m.
```

```
End GenericNaryRec.
```

Example of use: the Fibonacci sequence

Thanks to the definitions presented *supra*, we can define this typical recurrence in a very simple way:

```
Definition L0_fib := (1 :: 1 :: nil)%Z.
Definition U_fib := fun p q (_ : nat) => (p + q)%Z.
Definition fib := URec L0_fib U_fib.
```

Note that a naive definition such as the following is not particularly easier to write:

```
Fixpoint fib_naif (n : nat) : Z :=
  match n with
  | 0 => 1%Z
  | S 0 => 1%Z
  | S (S p as q) => (fib_naif p + fib_naif q)%Z
  end.
```

and above all, it would lead to a much worse complexity, due to the intrinsic redundancy of the recursion in this definition

TMEExp

```
Section TMEExp.
```

```
Variable prec : F.precision.
```

```
Definition Uexp u n :=
```

```
I.I.div_mixed_r prec u (F.fromZ (Z_of_nat n)).
```

```
Definition L0exp J := (I.exp prec J) :: nil.
```

```
Definition TCexp J n := URec (L0exp J) Uexp (S n).
```

```
Lemma TCexp_len : forall J n, size (TCexp J n) = S n.
```

```
Definition TMEExp (n : nat) X X0 : tms n :=
```

```
TMS (TCexp X0 n) (TCexp_len X0 n) (Trem prec TCexp n X X0).
```

```
End TMEExp.
```

TMIInv

```
Section TMIInv.
```

```
Variable prec: F.precision.
```

```
Definition Uinv u (J : I.type) (n : nat) :=  
  I.I.div prec u J.
```

```
Definition L0inv J := (I.inv prec J) :: nil.
```

```
Definition TCinv J n := URec (L0inv J) Uinv (I.neg J) (S n).
```

```
Lemma TCinv_len : forall J n, size (TCinv J n) = S n.
```

```
Definition TMIInv (n : nat) X0 X : tms n :=  
  TMS (TCinv X0 n) (TCinv_len X0 n) (Trem prec TCinv n X X0).
```

```
End TMIInv.
```

TMSin

```
Section TMsin.
```

```
Variable prec : F.precision.
```

```
Definition Usin (u v : I.type) n :=
```

```
I.neg (I.I.div_mixed_r prec  
u (F.fromZ (Z_of_nat (predn n) * Z_of_nat n))).
```

```
Definition L0sin J := (I.sin prec J :: I.cos prec J :: nil).
```

```
Definition TCsin J n := URec (L0sin J) Usin (S n).
```

```
Lemma TCsin_len : forall J n, size (TCsin J n) = S n.
```

```
Definition TMSin (n : nat) X X0 : tms n :=
```

```
TMS (TCsin X0 n) (TCsin_len X0 n) (Trem prec TCsin n X X0).
```

```
End TMsin.
```

TMArctan

Definition

$$\begin{cases} \arctan(0) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \arctan}{\partial x}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

[Demonstration using Gfun, Coq-Interval & ssrNaryRec]

TMArctan

Definition

$$\begin{cases} \arctan(0) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \arctan}{\partial x}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

[Demonstration using Gfun, Coq-Interval & ssrNaryRec]

Computation of a polynomial bound

Horner-like evaluation of a polynomial with small interval coefficients:

```
Fixpoint ipoly_eval p x :=
  match p with
  | nil => I.fromZ 0
  | c :: p' => I.add prec (I.mul prec (ipoly_eval p' x) x) c
  end.
```

Other handy functions

```
Definition Irnd prec xi :=
  match xi with
  | Inan => Inan
  | Ibnd xl xu => Ibnd (F.round rnd_DN prec xl) (F.round rnd_UP prec xu)
end.
```

⇒ Useful to have an idea of the magnitude of a result, with (Irnd 0 result)

```
Definition Idiam c := (I.sub prec c c).
```

```
Fixpoint map_poly_diam p :=
  match p with
  | nil => nil
  | c :: p' => Idiam c :: map_poly_diam p'
end.
```

```
Definition error_on_poly :=
  ipoly_eval prec (map_poly_diam prec (approx tm)) (I.sub prec X X0).
Definition total_error := (I.add prec error_on_poly (error tm)).
```

⇒ Useful to calculate the error that takes into account the errors on the coefficients

Outline

- 1 Introduction, Motivation and Data structures
- 2 Taylor Models for base functions
- 3 Taylor Models for composite functions
- 4 Formal proofs of correctness
- 5 Conclusion

TMAdd

```

Section Map2.
Variables (A : Type) (B : Type) (C : Type).
Variable f : A -> B -> C.
Fixpoint map2 (l1 : seq A) (l2 : seq B) : seq C :=
  match l1, l2 with
  | a :: l3, b :: l4 => f a b :: map2 l3 l4
  | _, _ => nil
  end.
Lemma map2_len :
  forall l1 l2, size (map2 l1 l2) = minn (size l1) (size l2).
End Map2.

```

```

Section TMAddition.
Lemma TMAdd_aux : forall n (Mf Mg : tms n),
  size (map2 (I.add prec) (approx Mf) (approx Mg)) = n.+1.
Definition TMAdd (n : nat) (Mf Mg : tms n) : tms n :=
  TMS (map2 (I.add prec) (approx Mf) (approx Mg)) (TMAdd_aux Mf Mg)
    (I.add prec (error Mf) (error Mg)).
End TMAddition.

```

TMMul

```

Section TMMultiplication.
Variable prec : F.precision.
Local Notation Izero := (I.fromZ 0). Local Notation inth p j := (nth Izero p j).
Definition mul_coeff (p q : seq (I.type)) (n : nat) : I.type :=
  foldr (fun i x => I.add prec (I.mul prec (inth p i) (inth q (n - i))) x)
    Izero (iota 0 n.+1).
Definition mul_seq p q n := mkseq (mul_coeff p q) n.+1.
Lemma size_TMMul :
  forall n (Mf Mg : tms n), size (mul_seq (approx Mf) (approx Mg) n) = n.+1.
Definition seq_of_ds pf pg n :=
  nseq n.+1 Izero ++ map (mul_coeff pf pg) (iota (n.+1) n).
Definition mul_error n (f g : tms n) X0 I :=
  let pf := (approx f) in let pg := (approx g) in
  let B := ipoly_eval prec (seq_of_ds pf pg n) (I.sub prec I X0) in
  let Bf := ipoly_eval prec pf (I.sub prec I X0) in
  let Bg := ipoly_eval prec pg (I.sub prec I X0) in
  I.add prec B (I.add prec (I.mul prec (error f) Bg)
    (I.add prec (I.mul prec (error g) Bf) (I.mul prec (error f) (error g))))..
Definition TMMul n (Mf Mg : tms n) X0 I : tms n :=
  TMS (mul_seq (approx Mf) (approx Mg) n) (size_TMMul Mf Mg)
    (mul_error Mf Mg X0 I).
End TMMultiplication.

```

TMComp

```

Section TMComposition. Variable prec : F.precision.
Fixpoint poly_eval_tm_aux n rl (Mf M : tms n) X0 I : tms n :=
  match rl with | ::[] => M
    | t :: rl' => let M1 := TMMul prec M Mf X0 I in
      let M2 := TMAdd prec M1 (TMConst n t) in
        poly_eval_tm_aux rl' Mf M2 X0 I end.
Definition poly_eval_tm n rl Mf X0 I :=
  poly_eval_tm_aux rl Mf (TMConst n Izero) X0 I.
Inductive fBase := fSin | fCos | fExp | fAtan | fInv.
Definition switchBase (f : fBase) (n : nat) (X0 I : I.type) : tms n.
Definition replace1 T (p : seq T) t :=
  match p with ::[] => ::[] | _ :: l => t :: l end.
Lemma size_tm_replace1 :
  forall n (Mf : tms n) t, size (replace1 (approx Mf) t) = n.+1.
Definition TMreplace1 n (Mf : tms n) t :=
  TMS (replace1 (approx Mf) t) (size_tm_replace1 Mf t) (error Mf).
Definition TMComp n (Mf : tms n) g X0 I :=
  let Bf := ipoly_eval prec (approx Mf) (I.sub prec I X0) in
  let Mg := switchBase g n (inth (approx Mf) 0) (I.add prec Bf (error Mf)) in
  let M1 := TMreplace1 Mf Izero in
  let rMg := (rev (approx Mg)) in let M0 := poly_eval_tm rMg M1 X0 I in
  TMS (approx M0) (approx_len M0) (I.add prec (error M0) (error Mg)).
End TMComposition.

```

TMBaseDiv

We use the following fact:

$$\frac{f}{g} = f \times \left[\left(x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \right) \circ g \right]$$

Section TMBaseDivision.

Variable prec : F.precision.

Definition TMBaseDiv n (Mf Mg : tms n) X0 I :=
TMMul prec Mf (TMComp prec Mg fInv X0 I) X0 I.
End TMBaseDivision.

Summary of the algebraic operations on Taylor Models

TMOp	Complexity in terms of the degree n
TMAdd	$O(n)$
TMMul	$O(n^2)$
TMComp	$O(n^3)$
TMBaseDiv	$O(n^3)$

Outline

- 1 Introduction, Motivation and Data structures
- 2 Taylor Models for base functions
- 3 Taylor Models for composite functions
- 4 Formal proofs of correctness
- 5 Conclusion

Validity of a Taylor Model

Definition

$M = (a_0, \dots, a_n, \Delta)$ is a valid Taylor Model of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in x_0 over I if $x_0 \subset I$, $0 \in \Delta$, and

$$\forall \xi_0 \in x_0, \exists \alpha_0 \in a_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in a_n, \forall x \in I, f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i (x - \xi_0)^i \in \Delta.$$

```
Definition validTM n (X X0 : I.type)
  (tm : tms n) (f : ExtendedR -> ExtendedR) :=
  forall fi0, contains (I.convert X0) fi0 ->
  exists alf, size alf = S n /\ ( forall k, (k <= n) ->
    contains (I.convert (inth (approx tm) k)) (xnth alf k) ) /\ 
  forall x, contains (I.convert X) x ->
  contains (I.convert (error tm))
  (Xsub (f x) (xpoly_eval alf (Xsub x fi0))).
```

Proofs of correctness

Two main types of proofs of correctness:

- Correctness of building blocks:

```
Lemma TMFun_valid : forall n (X X0 : I.type),
    validTM X X0 (TMFun prec n X X0) Xfun.
```

for a Taylor Model TMFun representing a base function Xfun

- Correctness of algebraic operations:

```
Lemma TMOp_valid :
  forall n (X X0 : I.type) (TMf TMg : tms n) f g,
  validTM X X0 TMf f ->
  validTM X X0 TMg g ->
  validTM X X0 (TMOp prec TMf TMg)
    (fun xr => Xop (f xr) (g xr)).
```

for an algebraic rule TMOp related to the considered operation Xop,
allowing to build composite Taylor Models in a recursive way

Summary of the Proofs

TMFun/TMOp	implemented	proved
TMExp	☒	☐ ¹
TMSin	☒ ²	☐
TMCos	☒ ²	☐
TMTan	☐	☐
TMArctan	☒	☐
TMConst	☒	☒
TMVar	☒	☐
TMInv	☒	☐
<hr/>		
TMAdd	☒	☒
TMMul	☒	☐
TMComp	☒	☐
TMBaseDiv	☒	☐

¹A key subgoal related to the Taylor-Lagrange theorem remains to prove

²Coq-Interval currently provides I.sin and I.cos on a limited range

Outline

- 1 Introduction, Motivation and Data structures
- 2 Taylor Models for base functions
- 3 Taylor Models for composite functions
- 4 Formal proofs of correctness
- 5 Conclusion

Conclusion and Perspectives

- Goal: Certified Polynomial Approximation
- A recurrence-based generic approach
- Add more functions
- Prove all functions
- Implement more tight remainders
- Consider Chebyshev Models

End of the talk

- Many thanks for your attention
- Any question?